

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,640	06/25/2003	Akimitsu Shimamura	YMOR:292 1038	
6160	7590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER	
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P. 1421 PRINCE STREET			TREAT, WILLIAM M	
SUITE 210 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2805			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2181		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/602,640	SHIMAMURA, AKIMITSU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William M. Treat	2181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		·			
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the GReplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (				
3) 🛛 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6/25/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2181

1. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the instruction code associated with said instruction data" in lines 15-16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Applicant recites: "an instruction memory storing an instruction program consisting of instruction codes, said instruction program being stored as data associated with an address" in lines 2-4 but no one instruction code is singled out.
- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said execution" in line 26. There are conflicting antecedent bases for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. On line 23 there is "the execution of the instruction" and on line 24 there is "the execution of the conditional branch".
- 8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said address" in lines 32-33. There are conflicting antecedent bases for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. On lines 3-4 there is "said instruction program being stored as data associated with an <u>address</u>". On lines 12-13 there is "said <u>address</u> is provided from said instruction fetch block to said instruction memory". On lines 13-14 there is "said instruction program data at said <u>address</u>". On lines 29-30 there is "a branch target

Application/Control Number: 10/602,640

Art Unit: 2181

<u>address</u> to be used if the conditional branch is taken". And, on lines 30-31 there is "an <u>address</u> to be used if the conditional branch is not taken".

Page 3

- 10. In lines 2-4 of applicant's claim 1 applicant recites "an instruction memory storing an instruction program consisting of instruction codes, said instruction program being stored as data associated with an address". It is not clear why instructions are stored in instruction memory as data and how that distinguishes applicant's claims from, for instructions stored in instruction memory as instructions.
- 11. In lines 13-20 of applicant's claim 1, applicant recites "said instruction program data at said address is inputted from said instruction memory to said instruction fetch block, the instruction code associated with said instruction program data is inputted from said instruction fetch block to said decode block, the control signal associated with the instruction decoded from said instruction code is inputted from said decode block into said execution block". Proper English language construction would be "output from said instruction memory to or into said instruction fetch block", etc. unless applicants have some unusual device construction that does not seem to have been made clear by their disclosure.
- 12. Note that all of the defects of independent claim 1 are inherently found in dependent claims 2-8.
- 13. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: as decribed below.

- 14. Claims 4-8 contain the language, "said one of the two-address decoder being determined after the other". It is unclear what determining one address decoder after another means. Are they connected serially? Is there some determining means which reconfigures a bus structure so that the address decoders may be accessed in variable order. Is there merely a pair of pass gates activated sequentially, etc.
- 15. The examiner regrets that due to applicants severe 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> problems with his claims the examiner is unable to sufficiently determine the scope of applicant's claims so as to apply art at this time.
- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 17. Henry et al. (Patent No. 6,609,194).
- 18. Harris (Patent No. 6,260,138).
- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William M. Treat at telephone number (571) 272-4175. The examiner works at home on Wednesdays but may normally be reached on Wednesdays by leaving a voice message using his office phone number. The examiner also works a flexible schedule but may normally be reached in the afternoon and evening on three of the four remaining weekdays.
- 20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,640

Art Unit: 2181

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM M. TREAT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5